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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of a topological horseshoe in the Henon mapping for values of the parameters such that a strange attractor is numerically observed.


Since Henon (1976) many authors have recently studied the dynamics of the diffeomorphisms of the plane

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a, b}:(x, y) \rightarrow\left(1-a x^{2}+y, b x\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interest in these mappings has been stimulated by Henon's numerical evidence for a 'strange attractor' when $a=1.4$ and $b=0 \cdot 3$. Such a behaviour has also been observed for different values of $a$ and $b$ (Feit 1978, Simó 1978, Curry 1979). Nevertheless the actual existence of a strange attractor is far from being proved: it has been proposed (Newhouse 1977) that what Henon did observe is merely a long periodic orbit.

A simpler question is: does there exist chaotic behaviour-e.g. transverse homoclinic points or horseshoe effects: Smale (1967), Nitecki (1971)—in (1)?

Numerical evidence has been given for the existence of transverse homoclinic points by Curry (1979) for Henon's values of $a$ and $b$, and by Simó (1978) for lower values of $a$. Analytical proofs have been obtained by Marotto (1979) for $b$ small enough, and by Devaney and Nitecki (1979) for any $b$ and $a$ larger than $2(1+|b|)^{2}$. However, neither of these works allows us to ensure the existence of homoclinic points for values of $a$ and $b$ such that a strange attractor is observed for (1): Marotto does not provide an explicit range of $b$ values for which his theorem applies, and the values of $a$ considered by Devaney and Nitecki are such that almost every point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has an orbit which diverges.

In the present Letter we give a method to prove that a topological horseshoe (Devaney and Nitecki 1979) is imbedded in the dynamics of (1) (this implies the existence of homoclinic points) for parameter values such that a chaotic behaviour is numerically displayed.

When the non-wandering set of $F_{a, b}$ is non-void $\left((1-b)^{2}+4 a \geqslant 0\right)$, this diffeomorphism is topologically conjugate to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{R, b}:(x, y) \rightarrow(y, R y(1-y)+b x), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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a conjugacy homeomorphism being

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \rightarrow\left(\alpha y+\beta, \alpha^{\prime} x+\beta^{\prime}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=1-b+\left[(1-b)^{2}+4 a\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=R / a, \quad \alpha^{\prime}=b \alpha, \quad \beta=-R / 2 a, \quad \beta^{\prime}=b \beta . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $b=0, G_{R, 0}$ is an endomorphism of the square $Q=[0,1] \times[0,1]$ which maps $Q$ on the graph of $f_{R}(x)=R x(1-x)$ restricted to $[0,1]$. Before considering more general situations, let us restrict ourselves to the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<R<4, \quad 0<b<1-R / 4 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{R, b}(Q) \subset Q \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can obtain a good geometric comprehension of the global structure of the 'strange attractors' of (2) in the following way:
(i) Let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=\{(x, y): x=0,0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1\}, \\
& I_{2}=\{(x, y): x=0,0 \leqslant y \leqslant b\}, \\
& I_{3}=\{(x, y): x=1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant 1\},  \tag{8}\\
& I_{4}=\{(x, y): x=1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant b\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the frontier of $G_{R, b}(Q)$ is $G_{R, b}\left(I_{1}\right) \cup I_{2} \cup G_{R . b}\left(I_{3}\right) \cup I_{4}$ (see figure $1(a)$ ).
(ii) In order to have a better understanding of the structure of $G_{R, b}^{2}(Q)$, it is quite natural to use the change of coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, Y)=(x,[y-R x(1-x)] / b), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which brings $G_{R, b}(Q)$ on the square $Q$. In these new coordinates $G_{R, b}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X, Y) \rightarrow(R X(1-X)+b Y, X), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is precisely (2) with the roles of $X$ and $Y$ exchanged (figure 1(b)).
(iii) By iterating this procedure we can draw the first approximations of $\cap_{n=0} G_{R, b}^{n}(Q)$ which contain the attractors (they may be strange) of $G_{R, b}$ (figure $1(c),(d))$.

We now come to the problem of the existence of a horseshoe for (2). We first state a theorem when condition (6) is satisfied.

Theorem. There is a value $R_{0} \sim 3.6785735 \dagger$ defined by $f_{R_{0}}^{3}(0.5)=\left(R_{0}-1\right) / R_{0}$ such that, for $R_{0}<R<4$ and $0<b<1-R / 4$, there is a total topological horseshoe imbedded in the dynamics.
$\dagger R_{0}$ is the value studied by Ruelle (1977). It may be interpreted as the value of $R$ corresponding to a first tangent homoclinic point (in the sense of Block (1978)) occurring in the unstable manifold of the non-trivial fixed point $x^{*}=(R-1) / R$ of $f_{R}(x)=R x(1-x)$.


Figure 1. The first steps in the geometrical construction of $\bigcap_{n=0} G_{R, b}^{n}(Q)$.

Once a horseshoe is exhibited, the further analysis up to the existence of homoclinic points is classical, and we refer to Smale (1967), Nitecki (1971) and Devaney and Nitecki (1979) for a comprehensive treatment. The horseshoe effect is represented in figure 2 for $R=3.7$ and $b=0.07$. In the same figure we also show the 'strange attractor' for the same values of the parameters.

Using the above remarks on the geometry of the successive iterates of $Q$ under $G_{R, b}$, we now outline the construction of a 'support' ABCD for the topological horseshoe; ABCD is defined as follows (figure 2):

AB is a horizontal line $\left\{(x, y) \mid x_{\mathrm{A}} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{\mathrm{B}}, y=y_{\mathrm{A}}\right\}$,
BC is an arc of parabola $\left\{(x, y) \mid x_{\mathrm{C}} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{\mathrm{B}}, y=R x(1-x)+b\right\}$,
CD is a horizontal line $\left\{(x, y) \mid x_{\mathrm{D}} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{\mathrm{C}}, y=y_{\mathrm{C}}\right\}$,
DA is an arc of parabola $\left\{(x, y) \mid x_{\mathrm{D}} \leqslant x \leqslant x_{\mathrm{A}}, y=R x(1-x)\right\}$.
The proof of the above-mentioned theorem consists of showing that there exist $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, D such that $\left.G_{R, b}^{2}\right|_{\mathrm{ABCD}}$ is actually a topological horseshoe. When (6) is verified, this is achieved in three steps which involve a long but trivial series of majorations.

Step 1. C, D are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{C}}=y_{\mathrm{D}}=x_{\mathrm{C}}=R x_{\mathrm{C}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{C}}\right)+b=R x_{\mathrm{D}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{D}}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. (a) In the ( $x, y$ ) coordinates, the strange attractor for $R=3.7$ and $b=0.07$ is represented, together with the support $A B C D$ of the topological horseshoe; the point $M$ is defined in the main text. (b) For the same parameter values, $\mathrm{ABCD}, G_{R, b}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ and $G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ are represented in the $(X, Y)$ coordinates. $\left.G_{R, b}^{2}\right|_{\mathrm{ABCD}}$ is a topological horseshoe.

## Then for $P \in C D$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{G_{\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{b}}^{2}(\mathrm{P})}>y_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \text { or } \quad x_{G_{\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{b}}^{2}(\mathrm{P})}<0.5 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. In order to prove that the bend of $G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ is out of ABCD as presented in figure 2, we introduce the point M as defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{M}}=0 \cdot 5=R x_{\mathrm{M}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{M}}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then either

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathbb{N})}>y_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \text { or } \quad x_{G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathbb{N})}<0 \cdot 5 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{N}}=R x_{\mathrm{N}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{N}}\right)+b=y_{G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathrm{M})} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. (14), (15) and (16) imply that there exist A and B such that on one hand the bend of $G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ is out of ABCD , i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{B}}=y_{\mathrm{A}}>y_{G_{\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~b}}^{2}(\mathrm{M})}^{2}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and on the other hand $G_{R, b}^{2}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ crosses ABCD twice, i.e. for $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{AB}$ the condition (13) is satisfied. From (11) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{B}}=y_{\mathrm{A}}=R x_{\mathrm{A}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=R x_{\mathrm{B}}\left(1-x_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+b . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For other values of $R$ and $b$ which are not restricted by condition (6), a specific support (depending on $R$ and $b$ values) forn a horseshoe can still be defined. In figure 3 a polygon ABCD is drawn, such that $\left.G_{R, b}^{2}\right|_{\mathrm{ABCD}}$ is a topological horseshoe when $b=0.3$ and $R=0.7+\sqrt{6.09} \simeq 3.16779 \ldots$, which correspond to Henon (1976) values of the parameters.

To conclude this Letter let us emphasise that the chaotic behaviour numerically observed for a given $\operatorname{map} F$ (real endomorphism or diffeomorphism of the plane) always


Figure 3. A polygon such that $\left.G_{R, b}^{2}\right|_{A B C D}$ is a topological horseshoe for $R$ and $b$ corresponding to Henon's parameter values.
seems to be associated with the existence of a family $\left\{Q_{\alpha}\right\}$ of polygons such that: (1) for each $\alpha$ there exists $n(\alpha)$ such that $\left.F^{n(\alpha)}\right|_{Q_{\alpha}}$ is a topological horseshoe; (2) $\left.F^{n(\alpha)}\right|_{Q_{\alpha}}-Q_{\alpha}$ is eventually mapped in the set $\bigcup_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}$.
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